QUARTERLY PROGRESS UPDATES ON PREVIOUSLY AGREED RECOMMENDATIONS

Summary

Members are asked to consider the assessments of progress contained within the attached Progress Updates on the implementation of previously agreed recommendations. There are outstanding recommendations from the reviews of Audiology, Alcohol and Dentistry.

Detail

- 1. Following the Cabinet consideration of scrutiny reports, accepted recommendations are then subject to a monitoring process to track their implementation.
- 2. Two main types of report are used. Initially this is by means of Action Plans detailing how services will be taking forward agreed recommendations. This is then followed by a Progress Report at a future agreed date. Evidence is submitted by the relevant department together with an assessment of progress against all recommendations. Should members of the Select Committee agree, those recommendations which have reached an assessment of '1' are then signed off as having been completed.
- 3. In order to track those recommendations which are not signed off following the first round of Progress Reports, a series of progress updates are submitted for all Select Committees on a quarterly basis.
- 4. The assessment of progress for each recommendation should be categorised as follows:

| 1 Achieved (Fully) | The evidence provided shows that the recommendation has been fully implemented within the timescale specified |
|---|---|
| 2 On Track but not yet due for completion | The evidence provided shows that implementation of the recommendation is on track but the timescale specified has not expired. |
| 3 Slipped | The evidence shows that progress on implementation has slipped. |
| | An anticipated date by which the recommendation is expected to become achieved should be advised and the reasons for the delay. |
| 4 Not Achieved | The evidence provided shows that the |

| recommendation achieved. | has | not | been | fully | |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----|------|-------|--|
| An explanation for recommendation v | r non achievement of the vould be provided | | | | |

- 5. As discussed at Executive Scrutiny on 27 January 2009, link officers will not be in attendance for quarterly progress updates, but Member comments will be communicated to the services concerned by the Scrutiny Team.
- 6. **Appendix 1** sets out the outstanding recommendations for this Committee. Members are asked to review the update and indicate whether they agree with the assessment of progress.

Name of Contact Officer: Peter Mennear

Post Title: Scrutiny Officer Telephone No. 01642 5268957

Email Address: peter.mennear@stockton.gov.uk

Progress Update - Audiology

| No | Recommendation | Responsibility | Anticipated Completion Date/ Completion Date | Evidence of Progress 18/10/10 | Assessme nt of progress (Categories 1-4) 18/10/10 | Evidence of Progress 21/02/11 | Assessme nt of progress (Categories 1- 4)21/02/11 |
|----|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | That the provision of more localised services in community settings be supported and this be considered as part of the Momentum proposals and through opportunities for the colocation of services with other providers. | Health Systems Directorate (North). | Ongoing as estate facilities become available. | Business case for Billingham Integrated Centre due to be submitted to Department of Health April 2011. | 2 - On Track but not yet due for completion | To be considered as estate becomes available, which will more than likely be 2012/13 when new PCT/LA buildings are due for completion. | 2 – On Track |
| 4 | The Tees PCTs review the care pathway for children's screening, taking into account both the Hartlepool and Stockton models to seek to achieve best practice across the Tees PCTs. | Health Systems Directorate (North). | | The Consultant Clinical Scientist (Audiology) has produced a report as part of an NHS Improvement project and it is now with the PCT for consideration. | 2 - On Track | The report referred to was in relation to South Tees Foundation Trust and recommendations have been implemented. As we review services we always explore practices locally and nationally with the aim of delivering high quality, value for money services | 1 – Fully Achieved |

.

Progress Update - Alcohol

| Number | Recommendation | Responsibility | Completion Date / Anticipated Completion Date | Evidence of Progress 18/10/10 | Assessment of Progress (Categories 1 - 4) 18/10/10 | Evidence of Progress 21/02/11 | Assessment of Progress (Categories 1 - 4) 21/02/11 |
|--------|---|----------------|---|--|--|---|--|
| 11 | That Stockton-on- Tees Borough Council's PIC (Participation, Involvement and Consultation) Network is consulted to determine how best to deliver services for alcohol reduction/cessation. | KA/CW | 01/12/2008 August 2010 | The PIC network have been consulted with via a questionnaire results will be available end of October. | 2 - On Track | Feedback from the network has been received. All comments will be utilised to shape future provision in relation to young peoples substance misuse. | 1 – Fully Achieved |

Progress Update - Dentistry

| No | Recommendation | Responsibility | Completion Date / Anticipated Completion Date | Evidence of Progress 18/10/10 | Assessment of Progress (Categories 1 -4) - 18/10/10 | Evidence of Progress 21/02/11 | Assessment of Progress (Categories 1 - 4) - 21/02/11 |
|----|---|----------------|--|--|--|---|--|
| 2 | The Committee recommends that the North East Strategic Health Authority undertake consultation in Stockton Borough in the financial year 2007-8 to determine the level of local support for the introduction of fluoridation to the borough's water supply. | NE SHA | 01/03/2008 Dependent on timing of Judicial Review | An application for judicial review against South Central SHA's decision to fluoridate by was made on two counts – a Judicial Review was granted for one of these and an appeal has been lodged against the decision not to grant a Judicial Review on the second. The Judicial Review process cannot start until this appeal has been heard. Date for Judicial Review has been set for January 2011 | 2 – On Track | The Judicial review took place on the 19 th and 20 th January at the High Courts of Justice. A decision on the outcome is expected between 2-6weeks of the 20/1/11. The main arguments centered around the legality and interpretation of the legislation surrounding the process of consultation not the decision of the NHS South Central. | 2 – On Track |